

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,274	08/10/2001	James William Otter	60246-142/9639	5282	
26096 7	590 11/12/2002				
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
BIRMINGHAI	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		GN
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/927,274	OTTER, JAMES WILLIAM	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen J. Flanigan	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING FOR A STATE OF THE PRINCE OF APPRINCE	1) a timely tiled amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		Garlariantian vekishav	erie leter de no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 October 2002.	Appellant's Brief must be filed v	within the period set	forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)∏ will not be entered or vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,8,21 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6, 7, and			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	oproved by the Exar	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	-1
10. Other:	a	Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner	•

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/927,274

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection because it is not persuasive (it merely reiterates the arguments made in the previously filed request for reconsideration; see comments made in the Advisory Action mailed on October 9, 2002). An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on 10/23/2002. Appellant's brief is due on 12/23/2002 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).